



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	-	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/896,897		06/30/2001	Elazar Rabani	Enz-60	1538	
28171	7590	10/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ENZO BIOCHEM, INC. 527 MADISON AVENUE (9TH FLOOR)				TUNG, JOYCE		
NEW YOR				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	-		•	1637		

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/896,897	RABANI ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit ,	
	Joyce Tung	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
 THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comprehensive time posicion. 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE a) and the appropriate exte	D WITHIN TWO
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	s after the mailing date of the final rejection	on, even if timely filed, ma	ths of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must t <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	pe filed within the time period set fo	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	121. See attached Notice of Non-C):		
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20 and 953. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ils to provide a (1).
 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 			ance because:
13. Other:		JEFFREY FREDI PRIMARY EXAMI	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The response argues that in neither cited document, Lizardi et al. or Egholm et al., there is any disclose or suggestion that the inherent UDT is used in the method Lizardi et al. or the method of Egholm et al. The current claims are product claims. A noted reagent of Lizardi et al. has an adapter-indexer sequence. The sequence has the structural limitations of a UDT. Thus, there is no structural difference between the inherent UDT of the instant invention and the non-inherent UDT's of Lizardi et al. The rejections are maintained.